

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/822,455	04/12/2004	James D. Cook	MTEZ 2 00035-1	2908
27885 75	590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			SINGH, SUNIL	
CLEVELAND,	OR AVENUE, SEVENTH . OH  44114	FLOOR	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/822,455	соок				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sunil Singh	3673				
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from     If NO period for reply is spec     Failure to reply within the set	vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. iffied above, the maximum statutory period we or extended period for reply will, by statute, lice later than three months after the mailing.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this applic	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,43,</u> 4	Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,43,46,49-68,70 and 71</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,43,4</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,43,46,49-68,70-71</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) i							
8) Claim(s)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
" See the attached o	detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not received	i.				
Attachment(s)		_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)				
. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/822,455

Art Unit: 3673

نة الغرار

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 33,50-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33, "said first end of said support device does not engage the borehole" appears to be incorrect.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 33,43,46,49, 51-57, 60,62-68,71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ernst et al. (US 5816759).

Ernst et al. discloses a bolt and anchor assembly comprising an elongated bolt (20,22), a shell (30), expansion member (40), a support device (shell support) (29), engagement between said support device and said shell sequentially forces said shell into said expansion member to expand said shell to anchor said elongated in said associated bore hole (see col. 4 line 60+, col. 5 lines 1-16, col. 7 lines 1-10) and then allows axial

Application/Control Number: 10/822.455

Art Unit: 3673

movement of said support device in a direction toward and relative to said shell (see abstract, col. 4 lines 10-50, col. 7 line 5+). Base ring (32,33), fingers (35). Tapered surfaces (28,23, see Fig. 4) for both the support device and shell. Notch (36).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 50,59,70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernst et al..

Ernst et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ernst et al. is silent about the support device being threadedly received on the bolt. The examiner takes official notice that support device being threadedly received on a bolt is old and well known. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ernst et al. by making the support device threadedly received on the bolt since such modification is well known and old and since this facilitate the installment of the support device.

5. Claims 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernst et al..

Ernst et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ernst et al. is silent about at what force does the support move relative to the shell. It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/822,455

Art Unit: 3673

been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ernst et al. by making the support device move relative to the shell at about 5,000lbs since this is a mere design choice.

6. Claims 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernst et al..

Ernst et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ernst et al. is silent about the support having an antifriction coating. The examiner takes official notice that support having antifriction coating is old and well known in the art. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ernst et al. by making the support device with an antifriction coating since this enables the relative movement between the support and the shell.

## Response to Arguments

7. Upon further consideration of the Ernst et al., the subject matter indicated in the interview of 3/30/06 as being allowable has been hereby withdrawn. The term "final installed position" is relative and since the "second end" (34) of Ernst et al. is expanded outwardly into engagement with the borehole wall before the "first end" (32), therefore at this very point one can consider it to be the "final installed position". "Final installed position" can be considered when 2000lbs of torque is reached or 5000lbs; it is because of this uncertainty why the examiner has withdrawn language previously indicated allowable.

Art Unit: 3673

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Engle Patricia can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

Page 5